



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/607,781	06/27/2003	Souichi Iwasa	033737.0142 6462			
25461 75	590 04/19/2004		EXAMINER			
•	ABRELL & RUSSELL, ROMENADE II	WINNER, TONY H				
,	REE STREET, N.E.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
ATLANTA, G	A 30309-3592	3611				
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2004	DATE MAILED: 04/19/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 -		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	$ \downarrow$ \downarrow				
Office Action Summary		10/607,78	31	IWASA ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Tony H. V	Vinner	3611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no eve within the state will apply and wi cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) d Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro ication to become ABANDON	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this comr NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Status									
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	,—								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□	 4) Claim(s) 8-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 8-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicat	ion Papers								
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) drawing(s) b ion is requir	e held in abeyance. Sed if the drawing(s) is c	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR	` '				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 6/27/03.		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		52)				

Application/Control Number: 10/607,781

Art Unit: 3611

Acknowledgment

1. Receipt of the preliminary amendment filed 6/27/03 has been acknowledged and entered. Claims 1-7 have been canceled. This application is a division of application 10/050,144 now US. patent 6,629,578 B2. Applicants must amend the specification to reflect this information.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: The words "their axes" lack antecedent basis and also unclear. The examiner suggests the following correction to overcome the objection:

Line 3 of claim 8 inserts -- a first bevel gear, including a first axis, provided --,

Line 4 of claim 8 inserts – a second bevel gear, <u>including a second axis</u>, meshed with the first bevel gear --,

Line 4 of claim 8 replaces "their axes" with – the axes --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in

Application/Control Number: 10/607,781

Art Unit: 3611

scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

4. In this instance, claims 8-11 of this application conflict with claims 8-11 of Application No. 10/608,835. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

Reasons for Allowance

5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The reference to Fujii discloses an electrically driven power steering apparatus, wherein a leaf spring (17) is connected between the bevel gear and the steering shaft so as to prevent backlash from occurring. Claims 8-11 are allowed because the prior art neither shows nor teaches that the support shaft is allowed to move toward the second bevel gear and is biased toward the second bevel gear by a biasing member and in combination with other elements recited.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Takehara et al. ('898) and Watanabe et al. ('306) are cited of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Anthony H. Winner whose telephone number is (703) 306-5957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 am to 6:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris, can be reached at (703) 308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

TONY WINNER
PATENT EXAMINER

April 7, 2004